

## Effect of bio-fertilizer and mulching on growth, yield and quality of cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. *botrytis* L.) in Punjab

G. SINGH AND S. K. SINGH

Department of Vegetable Science, College of Agriculture  
Mata Gujri College, Fatehgarh Sahib-140406, Punjab

Received : 04-03-2018 ; Revised : 14-02-2019 ; Accepted: 25-02-2019

### ABSTRACT

The experiment was carried out at the Experimental Research Farm, Department of Agriculture, Mata Gujri College, Fatehgarh Sahib, during Rabi season, 2016-17. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications comprising of nine treatments viz.,  $T_1$  (control),  $T_2$  (Paddy straw mulching),  $T_3$  (saw dust mulching),  $T_4$  (PSB @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup>),  $T_5$  (PSB @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup> + paddy straw),  $T_6$  (PSB @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup> + Saw dust),  $T_7$  (Azotobacter @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup>),  $T_8$  (Azotobacter @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup> + paddy straw) and  $T_9$  (Azotobacter @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup> + Saw dust). The results revealed that the growth characters like plant height, plant spread and leaf weight and quality parameters like TSS and ascorbic acid were significantly highest with  $T_8$  treatment (Azotobacter @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup> + paddy straw). But significantly highest dry matter and quantitative parameters like curd height, average curd weight and average curd yield/ha were recorded with  $T_5$  treatment (PSB @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup> + Paddy straw). Maximum net return (Rs. 2,08,054) was obtained from  $T_5$  treatment (PSB @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup> + paddy straw) while maximum B:C ratio (3.16:1) was obtained from  $T_4$  treatment (PSB @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup>). Whereas, minimum B: C ratio (1.12:1) was recorded under control.

**Keywords:** Bio-fertilizer, growth, mulching and quality

Cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. *botrytis* L.) belongs to the natural order of Cruciferae and genus Brassica, is a biannual and herbaceous vegetable crop. India is the second largest producer of cauliflower (7,887,000 MT) in the world after China (9,100,000 MT). It is specially grown in West Bangal, Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Gujarat, Haryana, Karnataka, Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh, Orissa, Uttarakhand and Himachal Pradesh. In India, MT. In Punjab, cauliflower grew in an area of 13.23 thousand ha with production of 238.03 thousand MT (NHB, 2015-16).

Bio-fertilizer are the derived product of living microorganism that are capable of fixing atmospheric nitrogen and also convert insoluble phosphorous to soluble phosphorous for uptake of plants (Kumar *et al.*, 2015). Need for use of bio-fertilizer has been raised due to excess use of chemical fertilizer which causes lots of harmful effects both on environment and soil condition such as damage to soil texture. Mulching play a significant role in organic farming and are used for many beneficial reason in the agriculture sector such as for soil temperature modification, weed control and for soil conservation but water conservation and erosion control are the most important objectives. Besides this, mulching also add essential plant nutrient into the soil after decomposition which improve the soil physical, chemical and biological properties and leads to increase both the quality as well as quantity of the crop (Bhardwaj, 2013). Therefore, keeping in view the above facts in mind, an attempt has been made in the present investigation to study the effect of effect of bio-fertilizer and mulching on growth, yield and quality of cauliflower.

A field experiment was conducted at the Experimental Research Farm, Mata Gujri College, Shri Fatehgarh Sahib during Rabi season of 2016-2017. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications comprising of nine treatments viz.,  $T_1$  (Control),  $T_2$  (Paddy straw mulching),  $T_3$  (Saw dust mulching),  $T_4$  (PSB @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup>),  $T_5$  (PSB @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup> + Paddy straw),  $T_6$  (PSB @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup> + Saw dust),  $T_7$  (Azotobacter @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup>),  $T_8$  (Azotobacter @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup> + Paddy straw) and  $T_9$  (Azotobacter @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup> + Saw dust) and the variety was Pusa Giant-26. Two types of mulch such as paddy straw and saw dust were spread over the plots evenly with different treatments to maintain a thickness about 10 cm and 4 cm respectively. The seedlings were transplanted in experimental field on 1<sup>st</sup> of October. All the cultural practices were followed according to this region. Observations were recorded on randomly selected plants with different characters *i.e.* plant height, plant spread, leaf weight, dry matter, curd height, average curd weight, marketable curd yield, TSS, ascorbic acid and cost of cultivation.

### Growth parameters

The result of the present study indicated that the growth parameters of plant such as plant height, plant spread, leaf weight and dry matter accumulation of cauliflower crop were significantly influenced by different bio-fertilizers and mulching materials. Among treatments, maximum increase in plant height, plant spread and leaf weight were observed under treatment  $T_8$  (Azotobacter @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup> + Paddy straw) which was statistically at par with treatment  $T_9$  (Azotobacter @ 1g

Short communication

Email: sandeepkumar@matagujricollege.org

plant<sup>-1</sup> + Saw dust) and treatment T<sub>7</sub> (*Azotobacter* @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup>) while maximum dry matter content was recorded with treatment T<sub>5</sub> (PSB @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup> + Paddy straw) which was statistically at par with treatment T<sub>6</sub> (PSB @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup> + Saw dust) and treatment T<sub>8</sub> (*Azotobacter* @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup> + Paddy Straw). The possible reasons for higher growth parameter with *Azotobacter* inoculation may be because of enhanced biological nitrogen fixation which ultimately show positive effect on vegetative growth of plant (Sharma *et al.* 2002). These findings are similar with Singh *et al.* (2015). In addition, paddy straw mulching with *Azotobacter* also show positive effect on growth parameters. Mulching help in improving the microclimatic condition of the soil which might have provided a suitable condition for better plant growth. Organic mulching improves physical, chemical and biological properties of soil as it releases nutrients to the soil and ultimately facilitates the crop growth (Kumar *et al.* 1990). This result correlated with the study of Nkansah *et al.* (2003) and Patra *et al.* (2004). Beside this, PSB increases the dry matter of curd which is due to the production of some growth promoting substances that are involved in increasing accumulation of food in plant (Singh *et al.* 2013). The result of Bahadur *et al.* (2006) confirm the present study who reported significantly higher dry matter in Chinese cabbage from the treatment in which PSB was supplied.

### Yield parameters

The various treatments were influenced significantly on yield attributing characters. Among the various treatments, the maximum curd height, average curd weight and marketable curd yield were observed under treatment T<sub>5</sub> (PSB @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup> + Paddy straw) which was statistically at par with T<sub>6</sub> (PSB @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup> + Saw dust). The minimum yield attributes were recorded in T<sub>1</sub> (control treatment). Increased effect on yield attributes were measured with the application of PSB because it not only increase the uptake of phosphorus but also mediated solubilization of insoluble phosphates through release of organic acid, metabolites which control soil born phytopathogens and release of pathogen suppressing metabolites mainly siderphores, phytohormones and lytic enzyme (Vassilev *et al.* 2006). Similar result was observed by Kachari and Korla (2009). Additionally, mulching also show positive effect on yield attributes which may be due to the efficient use of available soil moisture, inhibition of weed growth, protection of surface soil erosion, reduction in nutrient loss from soil by crop (Belel, 2012). These results are in line with the findings of Jamil *et al.* (2005) and Kabir *et al.* (2016).

**Table 1: Effect of bio-fertilizer and mulching on growth parameters of cauliflower**

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Plant spread (cm)	Leaf weight (g)	Dry matter of curd (%)
T <sub>1</sub> : Control	40.76	45.11	332.16	6.50
T <sub>2</sub> : Paddy straw mulching (10cm)	44.60	50.75	380.65	6.68
T <sub>3</sub> : Sawdust (4cm)	43.29	48.08	362.71	6.62
T <sub>4</sub> : PSB @ 1g plant <sup>-1</sup>	50.94	53.32	453.29	8.90
T <sub>5</sub> : PSB @ 1g plant <sup>-1</sup> + paddy straw (10cm)	54.30	54.93	477.25	9.75
T <sub>6</sub> : PSB @ 1g plant <sup>-1</sup> + sawdust (4cm)	52.39	52.87	459.88	9.33
T <sub>7</sub> : <i>Azotobacter</i> @ 1g plant <sup>-1</sup>	55.57	57.58	520.83	8.52
T <sub>8</sub> : <i>Azotobacter</i> @ 1g plant <sup>-1</sup> + paddy straw (10cm)	58.77	59.79	55.57	9.12
T <sub>9</sub> : <i>Azotobacter</i> @ 1g plant <sup>-1</sup> + sawdust (4cm)	56.35	58.42	534.18	8.63
<b>SEm (±)</b>	<b>1.37</b>	<b>0.77</b>	<b>11.52</b>	<b>0.26</b>
<b>LSD (0.05)</b>	<b>4.10</b>	<b>2.30</b>	<b>34.53</b>	<b>0.78</b>

### Quality parameters

The result of the present experiment showed significant effect on ascorbic acid while non significant on TSS. Among various treatments, highest ascorbic acid and TSS were recorded with treatment T<sub>8</sub> (*Azotobacter*@1g plant<sup>-1</sup> + Paddy straw) which was statistically at par with treatment T<sub>9</sub> (*Azotobacter*@1g plant<sup>-1</sup> + Saw dust) and treatment T<sub>7</sub> (*Azotobacter*@1g plant<sup>-1</sup>) while minimum was observed under T<sub>1</sub> (control). Increased ascorbic acid due to the application of *Azotobacter* because it has positive effect on the enzyme

reaction and formation of metabolites for carbohydrates and proteins synthesis which lead to increases ascorbic acid content (Bahadur *et al.* 2003). Similar, results were found by Narayanamma *et al.* (2005) and Sable and Bhamare (2007) who reported that *Azotobacter* helps in increasing the ascorbic acid content. Beside this, Paddy straw mulching also show increase in ascorbic acid content and give best result than other treatments when applied in combination with PSB. The results of present study are in agreement with the observations of Najafabadi *et al.* (2012) in garlic and Kamal and El-

**Table 2: Effect of bio-fertilizer and mulching on growth parameters of cauliflower**

Treatments	Curd height (cm)	Average curd weight (g)	Curd yield per ha (tonne)
T <sub>1</sub> : Control	8.89	302.71	12.61
T <sub>2</sub> : Paddy straw mulching (10cm)	10.70	425.23	19.22
T <sub>3</sub> : Sawdust (4cm)	10.20	370.72	16.69
T <sub>4</sub> : PSB @ 1g plant <sup>-1</sup>	12.34	552.27	24.87
T <sub>5</sub> : PSB @ 1g plant <sup>-1</sup> + paddy straw (10cm)	14.11	640.81	28.77
T <sub>6</sub> : PSB @ 1g plant <sup>-1</sup> + sawdust (4cm)	14.02	629.93	26.15
T <sub>7</sub> : <i>Azotobacter</i> @ 1g plant <sup>-1</sup>	12.03	560.58	24.45
T <sub>8</sub> : <i>Azotobacter</i> @ 1g plant <sup>-1</sup> + paddy straw (10cm)	13.01	590.13	25.45
T <sub>9</sub> : <i>Azotobacter</i> @ 1g plant <sup>-1</sup> + sawdust (4cm)	12.91	578.39	25.07
<b>SEm (±)</b>	<b>0.33</b>	<b>12.94</b>	<b>1.23</b>
<b>LSD (0.05)</b>	<b>0.98</b>	<b>38.80</b>	<b>3.68</b>

**Table 3: Effect of bio-fertilizer and mulching on quality growth parameters of cauliflower**

Treatments	TSS (°Brix)	Ascorbic acid (mg 100 g <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub> : Control	3.70	57.54
T <sub>2</sub> : Paddy straw mulching (10cm)	4.03	60.22
T <sub>3</sub> : Sawdust (4cm)	3.87	59.36
T <sub>4</sub> : PSB @ 1g plant <sup>-1</sup>	4.67	64.33
T <sub>5</sub> : PSB @ 1g plant <sup>-1</sup> + paddy straw (10cm)	4.93	66.88
T <sub>6</sub> : PSB @ 1g plant <sup>-1</sup> + sawdust (4cm)	4.37	65.91
T <sub>7</sub> : <i>Azotobacter</i> @ 1g plant <sup>-1</sup>	4.77	68.77
T <sub>8</sub> : <i>Azotobacter</i> @ 1g plant <sup>-1</sup> + paddy straw (10cm)	5.03	70.21
T <sub>9</sub> : <i>Azotobacter</i> @ 1g plant <sup>-1</sup> + sawdust (4cm)	4.95	69.58
<b>SEm (±)</b>	<b>0.57</b>	<b>0.49</b>
<b>LSD (0.05)</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>1.47</b>

Shazly (2013) in tomato. On the other hand, improvement in TSS with the application of biofertilizers over control, may be due to high nutrient uptake and increase photosynthesis rate besides, physiological and biochemical activities were also affected (Thilakavathy and Ramaswamy, 1999).

**Economics**

Data on economics of various treatments were presented in the table-4 revealed that the plot treated

with T<sub>5</sub> (PSB@1g plant<sup>-1</sup> + Paddy straw) treatment gave maximum net returns of Rs. 208054 ha<sup>-1</sup>. Maximum benefit: cost ratio (3.16:1) was observed in treatment T<sub>4</sub> (PSB@1g plant<sup>-1</sup>) while minimum benefit: cost ratio (1.12:1) was recorded under control. The reason behind maximum net return was higher yield while maximum B: C ratio due to only use of PSB in treatment T<sub>4</sub> which reduce the cost of mulching. Beside this give good yield which help increase the B: C ratio. Similar result was found by Sharma *et al.* (2009). Increase effect on growth

**Table 4: Economics of various treatments in cauliflower**

Treatments	Gross income (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Cost of cultivation (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Net return (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )	B:C ratio
T <sub>1</sub> : Control	126100	59371	66729	1.12:1
T <sub>2</sub> : Paddy straw mulching (10cm)	192200	79371	112829	1.42:1
T <sub>3</sub> : Sawdust (4cm)	166900	65371	101529	1.55:1
T <sub>4</sub> : PSB @ 1g plant <sup>-1</sup>	248700	59646	189054	3.16:1
T <sub>5</sub> : PSB @ 1g plant <sup>-1</sup> + paddy straw (10cm)	287700	79646	208054	2.61:1
T <sub>6</sub> : PSB @ 1g plant <sup>-1</sup> + sawdust (4cm)	261500	65646	195854	2.98:1
T <sub>7</sub> : <i>Azotobacter</i> @ 1g plant <sup>-1</sup>	244500	59646	184854	3.09:1
T <sub>8</sub> : <i>Azotobacter</i> @ 1g plant <sup>-1</sup> + paddy straw (10cm)	254500	79646	174854	2.19:1
T <sub>9</sub> : <i>Azotobacter</i> @ 1g plant <sup>-1</sup> + sawdust (4cm)	250700	65646	185054	2.81:1

parameters (plant height, plant spread and leaf weight) was found with treatment T<sub>8</sub> (*Azotobacter* @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup> + Paddy straw) while maximum dry matter was observed with treatment T<sub>5</sub> (PSB @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup> + Paddy straw). Increased effect on yield and yield contributing characters (curd height, average curd weight and marketable yield per hectare) was observed with the application of T<sub>5</sub> (PSB @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup> + Paddy straw). On the other hand, quality characters (ascorbic acid, total soluble solids) performed better under treatment T<sub>8</sub> (*Azotobacter* @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup> + Paddy straw). Beside this, treatment T<sub>5</sub> (PSB @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup> + Paddy straw) gave maximum gross income and net returns among all the treatments. Hence, application of treatment T<sub>5</sub> (PSB @ 1g plant<sup>-1</sup> + Paddy straw) be suggested for commercial cultivation of cauliflower for getting higher yield with maximum net returns per unit area.

## REFERENCES

- Bahadur, A., Sigh, J., Upadhyya, A.K. and Singh, K.P. 2003. Effect of organic manures and biofertilizers on growth, yield and quality attributes of Broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *italica*). *Veg. Sci.*, **30**: 192-94.
- Bahadur, A., Singh, J., Singh, K.P., Upadhyay, A.K. and Rai, M. 2006. Effect of organic amendments and biofertilizers on growth, yield and quality attributes of Chinese cabbage (*Brassicca pekinensis*). *Indian J. Agric. Social Sci.*, **76**: 596-98.
- Belel, M.D. 2012. Effects of grassed and synthetic mulching materials on growth and yield of sweet pepper (*Capsicum annum*) in Mubi, Nigeria. *J. Agric. Social Sci.*, **8**: 97-99.
- Bhardwaj, R.L. 2013. Effect of mulching on crop production under rainfed condition - A review. *Agric. Rev.*, **34**: 188-97.
- Dhaliwal, M.S. 2014. Culiflower. In Handbook of Vegetable Crops Kalyani Publisher: 155-56.
- Jamil, M, Qasim, M., Baloch, J. and Rehman, K. 2005. Effect of different types of mulches and their duration on the growth and yield of (*Allium Sativum* L.). *Int. J. Agric. Bio.*, **7**: 588-91.
- Kabir, M.A., Rahim, M.A. and Majumder, D.A.N. 2016. Productivity of garlic under different tillage methods and mulches in organic condition. *Bangladesh J. Agric. Res.*, **41**: 53-56.
- Kachari, M. and Korla, B.N. 2009. Effect of biofertilizer on growth and yield of cauliflower cv. PSB K-1. *Indian J. Hort.*, **66**: 496-501.
- Kamal, A.M. and El-Shazly, M.M. 2013. Effect of some soil amendments on growth, yield and quality of tomato plants (*Lycopersicon esculentum* mill.) cultivated under salinity conditions. *J. Pl. Prod.*, **4**: 1383-97.
- Kumar, D.G., Sachin, S.S. and Rajesh, K. 1990. Importance of mulch in crop production. *Indian J. Soil. Cons.*, **18**: 20-26.
- Kumar, J., Phookan, D.B., Lal, N., Kumar, H., Sinha, K. and Hazarika, M. 2015. Effect of organic manure and biofertilizer on nutritional quality of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata*). *J. Eco-freindly Agric.*, **10**: 114-19.
- Najafabadi, M.B.M., Peyvast. G., Asil, M.H., Olfati, J.A. and Rabiee, M. 2012. Mulching effect on the yield and quality of garlic as second crop in rice fields. *Int. J. Pl. Prod.*, **6**: 279-90.
- Narayanamma, M., Chiranjeevi, C.H., Raddy, I.P. and Ahmed, S.R. 2005. integrated nutrient management in cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. *botrytis* L.). *Veg. Sci.*, **32**: 62-64.
- NHB. 2016. *Handbook of Indian Horticulture Database*, NHB, Gurgaon, Haryana, India.
- Nkansah, G.O., Owusu, E.O., Bonsu, K.O. and Dennis, E.A. 2003. Effect of mulch type on the growth, yield and fruit quality of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill). *Ghana C*, **3**: 55-64.
- Patra, R. K., Debnath, S., Das, B. C. and Hasan, M. A. 2004. Effect of mulching on growth and fruit yield of guava cv. Sardar. *Orissa J. Hort.*, **32**: 38-42.
- Sable, P.B. and Bhamare, V.K. 2007. Effect of biofertilizers (*Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum*) alone and in combination with reduced levels of nitrogen on quality of cauliflower cv. snowball-16. *Asian J. Hort.*, **2**: 215-17.
- Sharma, A., Kumar, P., Parmar, D.K., Singh, Y. and Sharma, K.C. 2009. Effect of bio- inoculants and graded level of fertilizers on growth, yield and nutrient up-take in cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *botrytis*) *Veg. Sci.*, **36**: 344-48.
- Sharma, S.K. 2002. Effect of *Azospirillum*, *Azotobacter* and nitrogen on growth and yield of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata*). *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, **72**: 555-57.
- Singh, S., Singh, R.D. and Girish, B.H. 2013. Effect of different form of phosphorous nutrition on growth and yield of cauliflower (*Brassica Oleracea* Var. *Botrytis* L.). *Int. J. Agric. Env. Biotech.*, **6**: 283-86.
- Singh, V.K., Shree, S., Kumar, R., Singh, P. and Singh, R.G. 2015. Effect of microbial inoculants and inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield of hybrid cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata*). *Indian J. Life Sci.*, **10**: 1227-31.
- Thilakavathy, S. and Ramaswamy, N. 1999. Effect of inorganic and biofertilizers on yield and quality parameters of multiplier onion (*Allium cepa* L. var. *aggregatum*). *Veg. Sci.*, **26**: 97-98.
- Vassilev, N., Vassileva, M. and Nikolaeva, I. 2006. Simultaneous P-solubilising and bicontrol activity of microorganisms: potentials and future trends. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotech.*, **71**: 137-44.